IUB Spring 2019 Online Course Questionnaire Individual Report for POLS X476 (LEC) 8240 POLS PRACTICUM III (Wynand Kastart)

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Creation Date: **Tuesday, May 14, 2019**

Powered by blue

University Questions

How clearly were course learning goals and objectives communicated to you?

1. How clearly were course learning goals and objectives communicated to you?

Very Clearly (50.0%) — 2
Clearly (25.0%) — 1
Somewhat Clearly (25.0%) — 1
Not at all Clearly (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How clearly were course learning goals and objectives communicated to you?	4	3.3	1.0	1016	3.5	0.7	86198	3.4	0.8

How effectively was class time used to help you learn?

1. How effectively was class time used to help you learn?

Very Effectively (0.0%) — 0
Effectively (33.3%) — 1
Somewhat Effectively (66.7%) — 2
Not at all Effectively (0.0%) — 0
[Total (3)]

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How effectively was class time used to help you learn?	3	2.3	0.6	1007	3.4	0.8	85012	3.3	0.9

How effectively did out-of-class work (assignments, readings, practice, etc.) help you learn?

1. How effectively did out-of-class work (assignments, readings, practice, etc.) help you learn?

Very Effectively (25.0%) — 1
Effectively (50.0%) — 2
Somewhat Effectively (25.0%) — 1
Not at all Effectively (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How effectively did out-of-class work (assignments, readings, practice, etc.) help you learn?	4	3.0	0.8	989	3.0	1.0	84159	3.1	0.9

## How available was the instructor to provide help when needed (in person, by email, office hours, etc.)?

1. How available was the instructor to provide help when needed (in person, by email, office hours, etc.)?

Response	Count
Very Available (75.0%)	3
Available (25.0%)	1
Somewhat Available (0.0%)	0
Not at all Available (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How available was the instructor to provide help when needed (in person, by email, office hours, etc.)?	4	3.8	0.5	1008	3.5	0.7	95933	3.5	0.7

How likely would you be to recommend this course with this instructor?

1. How likely would you be to recommend this course with this instructor?

Response	Count
Very Likely (50.0%)	2
Likely (25.0%)	1
Somewhat Likely (25.0%)	1
Not at all Likely (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How likely would you be to recommend this course with this instructor?	4	3.3	1.0	1016	3.3	1.0	96269	3.4	0.9

How much did the instructor motivate you to do your best work?

1. How much did the instructor motivate you to do your best work?

Response	Count
A lot (75.0%)	3
Somewhat (25.0%)	1
Just a little (0.0%)	0
Not at all (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How much did the instructor motivate you to do your best work?	4	3.8	0.5	1010	3.5	0.7	95696	3.5	0.8

How much did the instructor emphasize student learning and development?

1. How much did the instructor emphasize student learning and development?

A lot (50.0%)	2
Somewhat (25.0%)	1
Just a little (25.0%)	1
Not at all (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
How much did the instructor emphasize student learning and development?	4	3.3	1.0	1002	3.6	0.7	94705	3.6	0.7

Compared to other courses you've taken, how much time did this course require?

1. Compared to other courses you've taken, how much time did this course require?

Much more time (25.0%)	1
More time (0.0%)	0
About as much time (25.0%)	1
Less time (25.0%)	1
Much less time (25.0%)	1
[Total (4)]	

| Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Compared to other courses you've taken, how much time did this course require?	4	2.8	1.7	1010	3.3	0.9	85520	3.3	1.0

In a typical week, about how much time did you devote to this course? (Do not count scheduled class time, labs, etc.)

1. In a typical week, about how much time did you devote to this course? (Do not count scheduled class time, labs, etc.)

Less than 1 hour (25.0%)	1
1-2 hours (75.0%)	3
3-4 hours (0.0%)	0
5-6 hours (0.0%)	0
7-8 hours (0.0%)	0
9-10 hours (0.0%)	0
11-15 hours (0.0%)	0
More than 15 hours (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

| Question	Course			Department. (POLS)			Institution		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
In a typical week, about how much time did you devote to this course? (Do not count scheduled class time, labs, etc.)	4	1.8	0.5	1011	2.7	1.2	85869	2.9	1.3

POLS Departmental Questions

Overall, I would rate the quality of this course as outstanding.

1. Overall, I would rate the quality of this course as outstanding.
Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (75.0%) — 3
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, I would rate the quality of this course as outstanding.	4	4.3	0.5	970	4.0	1.1

I learned a lot in this course.

1. I learned a lot in this course.
Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (75.0%) — 3
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
I learned a lot in this course.	4	4.3	0.5	963	4.3	0.9

The exams cover the most important aspects of the course.

1. The exams cover the most important aspects of the course.
Strongly agree (0.0%) — 0
Agree (25.0%) — 1
Undecided (75.0%) — 3
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
The exams cover the most important aspects of the course.	4	3.3	0.5	962	4.2	0.9

## This class caused me to reconsider some of my former attitudes.

1. This class caused me to reconsider some of my former attitudes.

Strongly agree (0.0%)	0
Agree (75.0%)	3
Undecided (0.0%)	0
Disagree (25.0%)	1
Strongly disagree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Scale: 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
This class caused me to reconsider some of my former attitudes.	4	3.5	1.0	967	3.8	1.1

Exams in this course are fair.

1. Exams in this course are fair.

Strongly agree (0.0%)	0
Agree (50.0%)	2
Undecided (50.0%)	2
Disagree (0.0%)	0
Strongly disagree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Scale: 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Exams in this course are fair.	4	3.5	0.6	965	4.1	1.0

Overall, I would rate the textbook/readings as excellent.

1. Overall, I would rate the textbook/readings as excellent.

Strongly agree (0.0%)	0
Agree (50.0%)	2
Undecided (50.0%)	2
Disagree (0.0%)	0
Strongly disagree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Scale: 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, I would rate the textbook/readings as excellent.	4	3.5	0.6	967	3.8	1.1

## The grading procedures for the course are fair.

1. The grading procedures for the course are fair.

Strongly agree (50.0%) ▬ 2
Agree (50.0%) ▬ 2
Undecided (0.0%) 0
Disagree (0.0%) 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) 0
[Total (4)]

0   0.5   1   1.5   2   2.5

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
The grading procedures for the course are fair.	4	4.5	0.6	971	4.1	1.1

## About how many of the required class sessions of this course have you attended?

1. About how many of the required class sessions of this course have you attended?

All or almost all (100.0%) ▬ 4
Most (0.0%) 0
About half (0.0%) 0
Fewer than half (0.0%) 0
None (0.0%) 0
[Total (4)]

0   1   2   3   4   5

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
About how many of the required class sessions of this course have you attended?	4	5.0	0.0	961	4.7	0.5

## The level of difficulty of this course is appropriate for me.

1. The level of difficulty of this course is appropriate for me.

Strongly agree (75.0%) ▬ 3
Agree (25.0%) ▬ 1
Undecided (0.0%) 0
Disagree (0.0%) 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) 0
[Total (4)]

0   0.5   1   1.5   2   2.5   3   3.5

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
The level of difficulty of this course is appropriate for me.	4	4.8	0.5	957	4.2	0.9

## About how much of the assigned readings have you done?

1. About how much of the assigned readings have you done?

- All or almost all (100.0%) — 4
- Most (0.0%) — 0
- About half (0.0%) — 0
- Fewer than half (0.0%) — 0
- None (0.0%) — 0
- [Total (4)]

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
About how much of the assigned readings have you done?	4	5.0	0.0	959	3.6	1.2

My instructor organized this course well.

1. My instructor organized this course well.

- Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
- Agree (50.0%) — 2
- Undecided (0.0%) — 0
- Disagree (25.0%) — 1
- Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
- [Total (4)]

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor organized this course well.	4	3.8	1.3	961	4.4	0.9

## My instructor uses teaching methods well suited to the course.

1. My instructor uses teaching methods well suited to the course.

- Strongly agree (0.0%) — 0
- Agree (75.0%) — 3
- Undecided (0.0%) — 0
- Disagree (25.0%) — 1
- Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
- [Total (4)]

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor uses teaching methods well suited to the course.	4	3.5	1.0	961	4.3	1.0

My instructor explains the material clearly.

1. My instructor explains the material clearly.

Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (25.0%) — 1
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor explains the material clearly.	4	3.8	1.3	962	4.4	0.9

## My instructor is enthusiastic about teaching this course.

1. My instructor is enthusiastic about teaching this course.

Strongly agree (75.0%) — 3
Agree (25.0%) — 1
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor is enthusiastic about teaching this course.	4	4.8	0.5	962	4.7	0.7

My instructor is knowledgeable on course topics.

1. My instructor is knowledgeable on course topics.

Strongly agree (50.0%) — 2
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor is knowledgeable on course topics.	4	4.5	0.6	962	4.8	0.6

My instructor treats students with respect.

1. My instructor treats students with respect.
Strongly agree (50.0%) — 2
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

(x-axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor treats students with respect.	4	4.5	0.6	958	4.7	0.7

## My instructor makes the subject interesting.

1. My instructor makes the subject interesting.
Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (25.0%) — 1
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

(x-axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor makes the subject interesting.	4	3.8	1.3	962	4.3	1.0

## My instructor is well prepared for class meetings.

1. My instructor is well prepared for class meetings.
Strongly agree (0.0%) — 0
Agree (100.0%) — 4
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

(x-axis: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor is well prepared for class meetings.	4	4.0	0.0	959	4.7	0.6

## Compared to all instructors you have had, how would you rate this instructor?

1. Compared to all instructors you have had, how would you rate this instructor?

Outstanding (0.0%)	0
Above average (50.0%)	2
Average (50.0%)	2
Below average (0.0%)	0
Poor (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

(Axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5)

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Compared to all instructors you have had, how would you rate this instructor?	4	3.5	0.6	959	4.2	1.0

My instructor stimulates my thinking.

1. My instructor stimulates my thinking.

Strongly agree (0.0%)	0
Agree (50.0%)	2
Undecided (50.0%)	2
Disagree (0.0%)	0
Strongly disagree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

(Axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5)

| Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor stimulates my thinking.	4	3.5	0.6	959	4.3	1.0

My instructor is skillful in developing classroom discussion.

1. My instructor is skillful in developing classroom discussion.

Strongly agree (25.0%)	1
Agree (25.0%)	1
Undecided (25.0%)	1
Disagree (25.0%)	1
Strongly disagree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

(Axis: 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor is skillful in developing classroom discussion.	4	3.5	1.3	962	4.1	1.0

## Overall, I would rate this instructor as outstanding.

1. Overall, I would rate this instructor as outstanding.

Strongly agree (0.0%) — 0
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (25.0%) — 1
Disagree (25.0%) — 1
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

(axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, I would rate this instructor as outstanding.	4	3.3	1.0	959	4.2	1.0

My instructor makes me feel free to ask questions in class.

1. My instructor makes me feel free to ask questions in class.

Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (25.0%) — 1
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

(axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor makes me feel free to ask questions in class.	4	4.0	0.8	962	4.4	0.8

My instructor is fair and impartial when dealing with students.

1. My instructor is fair and impartial when dealing with students.

Strongly agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (75.0%) — 3
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disagree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly disagree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

(axis: 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5)

Question	Course			Alt-Department (POLS_UGRD)		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
My instructor is fair and impartial when dealing with students.	4	4.3	0.5	960	4.5	0.8

POLS Open Ended Questions

What did you like most about this course and instructor?

Comments
He had a lot of energy and was eager to prepare us to win the MMEU.
I liked his energy and drive. Great guy, just needs to be more of a coach for the academic team rather than just an instructor.
Knowledgeable about the EU

What did you like least about this course and instructor?

Comments
I think we should've been more organized for the Conference. Almost every other team had binders with information and seemed to know what was going on better than most of us.
Lectures seemed to go on and on and its very hard to stay engaged.
N/A

What could the instructor do to improve the course or his/her teaching effectiveness?

Comments
Have us make binders for the Conference.
Meet more, team building exercises
Improve their lecture ability. Hard to pay attention for the entire course.
Have the class more often. This would give the participants more time to prepare.

If there was an Associate Instructor for this class, please describe the aspects of his/her work that you like the most/least.

Comments
n/a.
n/a

Instructor Added Quantitative Questions

The instructor excelled at building a strong team spirit.

1. The instructor excelled at building a strong team spirit.

Strongly Agree (0.0%) — 0
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disgree (50.0%) — 2
Strongly Disgree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

| Question	Course		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
The instructor excelled at building a strong team spirit.	4	3.0	1.2

The instructor knew exactly what kind of skills and knowledge students needed to excel at the Midwest Model European Union.

1. The instructor knew exactly what kind of skills and knowledge students needed to excel at the Midwest Model European Union.

Strongly Agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (75.0%) — 3
Undecided (0.0%) — 0
Disgree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly Disgree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

| Question	Course		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
The instructor knew exactly what kind of skills and knowledge students needed to excel at the Midwest Model European Union.	4	4.3	0.5

The instructor equipped me with all the necessary skills and knowledge to excel at the Midwest Model European Union.

| 1. The instructor equipped me with all the necessary skills and knowledge to excel at the Midwest Model European Union. |
|---|

Strongly Agree (25.0%) — 1
Agree (50.0%) — 2
Undecided (25.0%) — 1
Disgree (0.0%) — 0
Strongly Disgree (0.0%) — 0
[Total (4)]

Question	Course		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
The instructor equipped me with all the necessary skills and knowledge to excel at the Midwest Model European Union.	4	4.0	0.8

## This class encourages me to participate in next year's Midwest Model European Union and similar conferences.

1. This class encourages me to participate in next year's Midwest Model European Union and similar conferences.

Strongly Agree (50.0%)	2
Agree (50.0%)	2
Undecided (0.0%)	0
Disgree (0.0%)	0
Strongly Disgree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
This class encourages me to participate in next year's Midwest Model European Union and similar conferences.	4	4.5	0.6

This class should have involved more class days.

1. This class should have involved more class days.

Strongly Agree (25.0%)	1
Agree (50.0%)	2
Undecided (25.0%)	1
Disgree (0.0%)	0
Strongly Disgree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
This class should have involved more class days.	4	4.0	0.8

This class should have involved more practice sessions.

1. This class should have involved more practice sessions.

Strongly Agree (25.0%)	1
Agree (75.0%)	3
Undecided (0.0%)	0
Disgree (0.0%)	0
Strongly Disgree (0.0%)	0
[Total (4)]	

Question	Course		
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
This class should have involved more practice sessions.	4	4.3	0.5

Instructor Added Open Ended Questions

Now that you have participated in the Midwest Model European Union, is there anything the instructor could have done better to further enhance your performance at the conference?

Comments
More team building, more meetings, get students to know eachother, watch previous footage so we know what were getting into, etc.
Practice parliamentary procedure/ Roberts rules more.

Should the instructor have spent more time at teambuilding?

Comments
I think that was on us to build a better team. He did what he could in class, so it was up to us to pick up the rest.
Yes
YES. 100% yes, it was weird because they was so much responsibility left to us to team build and it just didn't happen.
no

Were the assignments helpful for your preparation for Midwest Model European Union? If not, what kind of assignments would have been helpful in this respect?

Comments
Yes, the assignments gave me a good understanding of what my country stood for and how I should model my policy proposal.
Yes
I thought the assignments were good for preparation.
Sure

Has this class, and the Midwest Model European Union conference in particular, made you feel more confident at speaking in public?

Comments
Yes
Yes
Marginally. It really helped me with my Roberts rules however.
Yes

The competitive atmosphere at the Midwest Model European Union can be a daunting experience. How did this class and the instructor help you cope with this competitive environment? What could have been done better in these respects?

Comments
I think he helped us a lot with the environment as our practice sessions were fairly competitive.
We should film and watch footage of the competition so students can better understand what they are getting into.
We just did it.

What is the most important skill that you learned and developed in this class?

Comments
Research
Most important skill I used was formal communication. I had to network and talk with a lot with the other university team members.
Roberts Rules